	Case 2:22-cv-02009-DJC-AC Documer	nt 8 Filed 06/22/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SHAWNCEY BLAKE,	No. 2:22-cv-2009 DJC AC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	ROSEVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18	A recent court order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned by the postal	
19	service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), which requires that	
20	a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. More than sixty-	
21	three days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal service and plaintiff has	
22	failed to notify the court of a current address.	
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
24	prejudice for failure to prosecute. <u>See</u> L.R. 183(b).	
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
26	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
27	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	

with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings

and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: June 21, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 2:22-cv-02009-DJC-AC Document 8 Filed 06/22/23 Page 2 of 2